

variances to the wetland area would not change the performance of the water in that area. Flooding is an issue currently in the area. The proposed plan will allow a home to encroach into the wetland setback bordering his property. He stated that the variance is substantial. He stated the zoning codes are in place to protect home values and asked the members to enforce them as stated.

John Mullen, of 425 Chadwick Drive was present to make comment. His parcel borders the project on the south side. He asked for explanation of the symbol on the plan for subplot 64. He also asked for clarification on the catch basin shown on sublots 64 and 65. He asked how the retention pond would work. He asked for explanation of a “one-time grading” request.

Mary Ruehr, of 520 Eldridge Road was on hand to make public comment. She had a question about the two ponds. She asked where the water comes from that is currently in the retention ponds. She also questioned the stream that runs through the property. She noticed that a bridge might be erected over the stream. She wondered how the variances might alter the stream. She asked about the concrete washout basin. She asked where the run-off is expected to go during construction. She had concerns about how this project would affect her pond and the neighbors.

Ms. Grandillo asked for further comments and there were none.

ADJOURNMENT:

Ms. Grandillo adjourned the public hearing at 6:48 p.m.

Kathi Grandillo-Chairman

Marie Lawrie, Clerk